From: To: A303 Stonehenge

Subject: Responding to Highways comments

21 June 2019 23:57:35 Date:

Dear Sirs,

I would like to take this opportunity to reply to Highways England responses to my verbal submission on the topics I raised.

I am not in favour of the proposed short tunnel as it could materially damage the landscape. I acknowledge that something else does need to be done to improve the traffic and I empathise with locals who have been effected by A303 congestion.

Concerning energy lines or flows of earth energy, the 'theoretical' nature of something does not exclude its existence or relevance unless it is disproven.

I'm not an expert, but my basic understanding of Geophysical survey equipment is that it is designed to detect anomalies straight beneath the equipment and not, flowing, or at any great depth. In any event, whilst dowsing can detect anomalies discoverable by geophysical equipment the reverse not always being the case proves little except that dowsing has a greater range of phenomena it can detect.

If there do exist flows of 'energy' into stonehenge from the south, the inability of geophysical ground radar or geomagnetic sensors to see it does not have any significance. I cannot see dark matter, it is theoretical, yet it is apparently expanding our universe.

A physical barrier in the landscape such as a tunnel might have an adverse effect upon energy flows. More research is required before making drastic irreversible intervention to the south of Stonehenge.

My main point was not addressed by Highways England, and that is about the impact of any tunnel works on the springs at Blickmead.

Highways England have so far failed to illuminate to my satisfaction how and where the water that supplies these springs originate and what the pathways through the underlying strata are. This is important because the lack of that science leaves us at significant risk of obstructing the water supply to Blickmead and hence is

| nack of that science leaves as at significant risk of obstructing the water supply to brickmeda and hence           |
|---|
| destroying the tangible and intangible value of the place to archaeology, local community and the quest of the      |
| Druids to understand our earliest possible roots. It is perhaps ironic that they seem to be asking us to accept the |
| risk based upon nothing stronger than a theory.   |
| Regards   |

Sent from my iPhone

Frank